We also conclude that although the itinerant model used to discuss the second-order region is rather simple, it contains in it the essential features of a more elaborate treatment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

*Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

1. N. Menyuk, J. A. Kafalas, K. Dwight, and J. B. Goodenough, Phys. Rev. 177, 942 (1969).

2. R. H. Wilson and J. S. Kasper, Acta Cryst. 17, 95 (1964).

3. W. Köster and E. Braun, Ann. Phys. Lpz. 4, 66 (1959).

4. N. N. Sirota and E. A. Vasilev, Phys. Stat. Sol. 28, K175 (1968).

5. J. B. Goodenough, D. H. Ridgley, and W. A. Newman, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Magnetism, Nottingham, 1964 (The Institute of Physics and the Physical Society, London, 1965).

6. J. B. Goodenough and J. A. Kafalas, Phys. Rev. 157, 389 (1967).

7. J. B. Goodenough, Magnetism and the Chemical Bond (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1963).

8. There must be 3-d itinerant states to account for the metallic like electrical conductivity.

9. E. P. Wohlfarth, J. Appl. Phys. 39, 1061 (1968); J. Phys. C [Proc. Phys. Soc.] 2, 68 (1969).

10. D. M. Edwards and E. P. Wohlfarth, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A 303, 127 (1968).

11. J. Mathon and E. P. Wohlfarth, Phys. Stat. Solidi 30, K131 (1968); E. P. Wohlfarth, Phys. Letters 28A, 569 (1969); E. P. Wohlfarth, Phys. Letters 31A, 525 (1970).

12. E. P. Wohlfarth and L. C. Bartel, Phys. Letters 34A, 303 (1971).

13. M. Shiga, Sol. State Comm. 7, 559 (1969).

14. The factor $\overline{I}$ in the denominator of Eq. (3) does not appear in the previous work.

15. N. D. Lang and H. Ehrenreich, Phys. Rev. 168, 605 (1968).